

Shā'ahs And The Holy Qur'ān

Shā'ahs believe that “The Qur'ān is the Divine *wahi* revealed by Allāh Almighty to His greatest Prophet (ﷺ) in order to explain everything. It is His eternal miracle which has proven that humans are unable to challenge its oratory and clarity. While it contains facts and sublime knowledge, no falsehood can approach it nor can its words be replaced, changed or distorted. What we recite is the same Qur'ān which was revealed to the Prophet (ﷺ). Anyone who claims anything else different from this is a violator or a promoter of falsehood or simply confused, and all these are not on the path of guidance.

It is the speech of Allāh (ﷻ) which no falsehood can approach from before it or from behind it.”¹ The mentor of traditionists, Muhammad ibn Ali al-Qummi, who is given the title “al-Sadīq” [the truthful], says, “Our belief in as far as the Qur'ān which was revealed by Allāh Almighty to His Prophet Muhammad (ﷺ) is concerned is that it is the one in existence among both branches of the Islamic nation [Sunnis and Shā'ahs], and it is what people have in circulation and nothing more. Anyone who claims that we [Shā'ahs] say anything more than this is a liar.”²

The above is underscored by Prof. al-Bahīnī, one of the intellectuals of Al-Ikhwān al-Muslimīn [the Muslim Brotherhood], who adds saying, “The Shā'ah Ja'fari Twelvers are of the view that anyone who distorts the Qur'ān about which all the nation agrees since the dawn of Islam... The book [Qur'ān] which exists among Ahl al-Sunnah is the same in existence at mosques and homes of the Shā'ahs.” He goes on to say the following in the field of rebutting the claims of Zahir and al-Khateeb: “What is known among the Muslims is that the Qur'ān has never suffered any distortion, and that what we have is all the Qur'ān revealed to the greatest Prophet.”³

As for Shaykh Muhammad al-Ghazālī, he says the following in his book titled *Dif' an al-'Aqeeda wal Shar'ah dhidd Mat' in al-Mustashriqeen* (a defense of the faith and the Islamic legislative system against the charges of the Orientalists): “I heard someone at a scholarly meeting saying that the Shā'ahs have another Qur'ān which is more and less than the well known Qur'ān. I, therefore, said to him, ‘Where is this Qur'ān? And why neither mankind nor the jinns have seen a copy of it throughout this lengthy history? And why such a charge is created? Why should there be lies against people and against the *wahi*?’”⁴

As regarding the erroneous “traditions” upon which some people may depend and which claim that the Qur’ān has been distorted and which exists among the Shī’ahs in the books of *hadīth*, these charges are totally rejected. They are indicted and rejected because their likes exist in the books which the Sunnis consider as containing authentic traditions.

Al-Bukhārī has traced a tradition to ‘Īshā saying, “The Messenger of Allāh (ﷺ) heard a man reciting a [Qur’ānic] chapter at night, so he said to him, ‘May Allāh have mercy on him! He has reminded me of such-and-such a verse in such-and-such a chapter...’”⁵ Of course, nobody can believe what this “tradition” means and which points to the Messenger of Allāh (ﷺ) NOT knowing the Qur’ān in full by heart, or to his having forgotten some of its verses...! Following are proofs that they found a portion of Surat al-Tawba **only** with Khuzaymah al-Anṣārī during the compilation of the Qur’ān according to what al-Bukhārī states in his *Sahīh*:

Zayd ibn Thābit has said, “When we recorded the tablets, I missed a verse from Surat al-Ahzāb which I used to hear the Messenger of Allāh (ﷺ) recite and which I found with none except Khuzaymah al-Anṣārī whose testimony the Messenger of Allāh (ﷺ) equalled to that of two believers: ‘... Men who proved true to their promise to Allah...’”⁶

And in another narrative by Zayd ibn Thābit, the latter said, “... So I traced the Qur’ān, collecting its text from sheets, shoulders and leaves and also from men’s memory till I found from Surat al-Tawba a couple of verses with Khuzaymah al-Anṣārī which I found with nobody else.”⁷ So, how can one compromise this narrative with the fact that the Qur’ān has been transmitted consecutively?!

And among the numerous traditions recorded by al-Bukhārī and other Sunni traditionists in their books of “*Sahīh*” (authentic) traditions and “Musnads” (reliable sources) and which openly claim that the text of the Holy Qur’ān is distorted is one narrated about caliph ‘Umar ibn al-Khattāb, with the narrator relying on the authority of Abdullāh Ibn Abbās as follows: “‘Umar ibn al-Khattāb went out. When I saw him coming, I said to Sa’īd ibn Zayd ibn ‘Amr ibn Nafeel, ‘Tonight, he [‘Umar] will say something which he never said since he became caliph.’ He did not like what I said and responded by saying, ‘What could he tell you what he never said before?’

‘Umar sat on the pulpit. Once the caller to the prayers finished calling the *adhān*, ‘Umar stood up then sat on the pulpit. He praised Allāh as He deserves then said, ‘Having said what I have said, I am going to make a statement which I am destined to say. I do not know; perhaps I am saying it before my demise. Anyone who understands it and who realizes its significance should disseminate it wherever his destination may be. And if one is afraid he will not realize it, I do not permit him to tell a lie about me.

Allāh sent Muhammad (ﷺ) with the truth. He revealed the Book to him. Among what Allāh revealed was Ayat al-Rajm [the verse of stoning], so we recited it, understood it and absorbed it. The Messenger of Allāh (ﷺ) stoned, and we stoned after him. I am afraid if a long period of time passes by, someone may say, ‘By Allāh we do not find the verse of stoning in the Book of Allāh.’ They will thus go astray by

abandoning an obligation mandated by Allāh. Stoning in the Book of Allāh is right against married men or women once the evidence is established, or when there is a pregnancy, or when one confesses it.”⁸

The other narrative, which is also recorded by al-Bukhārī, explains that ‘Umar ibn al-Khattāb wished to add that verse which he, according to his claim, was dropped by himself, but he was afraid of what people might say: “‘Umar said, ‘Had it not been for the possibility that people may say that ‘Umar increased the text of the Book of Allāh, I would have written the verse of stoning with my own hand and thus back what instruction the Prophet (ﷺ) had had regarding stoning an adulterer in the presence of four witnesses.’”⁹

As for this alleged “verse,” it supposedly says the following: “As for the mid-aged [sheikh] man or woman, if he or she commits adultery, you should absolutely stone them.”¹⁰ Ibn Mājāh, too, has narrated the same in his *Sahīh*. Since we unequivocally believe that the Qur’ān in our hands has never suffered any diminution or addition, caliph ‘Umar must have been confused, and the source of this confusion may be the existence of the stoning verse not in the Holy Qur’ān but in the Torah of the People of the Book as this becomes evident from the narrative of Ibn ‘Umar who says, “A Jew and a Jewess who had committed adultery were both brought to the Prophet (ﷺ).

He (ﷺ) asked the Jews, ‘What do you do to them?’ They said, ‘We blacken their faces and expose them to shame.’ The Prophet (ﷺ) said, ‘Bring me the Torah and recite it if you are telling the truth.’ They came with a one-eyed man of their own choice whom they asked to recite. When the man came to a certain place in the verse, he put his hand on it. The Prophet (ﷺ) told him to raise his hand. When he did, the stoning verse became quite evident. The man said, ‘O Muhammad! They are to be stoned, but we have been hiding it among ourselves.’ The Prophet (ﷺ) ordered them stoned.”¹¹

What strengthens the possibility that ‘Umar was confused between the Wise Book of Allāh and the Torah of the People of the Book is what al-Jaz‘iri says in his book titled “This is my advice to every Shi’a man”. He says the following: “... and how can such distorted and altered books be recited while the Messenger of Allāh (ﷺ) rebuked ‘Umar ibn al-Khattāb holding in his hand a sheet of the Torah, so he (ﷺ) rebukes him saying, ‘Have I not brought it [Islam] to you white and pure?!’ The Messenger of Allāh (ﷺ) did not accept that ‘Umar should even look at one page of the Torah.”¹²

It is also narrated that caliph ‘Umar had also said, “We used to recite the following in the Book of Allāh: ‘If you turn away from your parents, it is apostasy if you turn away from your parents,’ or “It is apostasy in you if you turn away from your parents.”¹³

It is not a secret that neither this verse nor its predecessor exists in the Book of Allāh. As for Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd, it is narrated about him that he used to add both words “al-thakar” (the male) and “al-untha” (the female) to this sacred verse:

“By the night as it conceals (the light)” (Qur’ān, 92: 1). ‘

Alqamah has said, "... How does Abdullah recite 'By the night as it conceals (the light)'? I recited to him the following: 'By the night as it conceals (the light), and by the daytime when it manifests itself, and by the male and the female...' He said, 'By Allah! [Thus did] the Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) recite it to me; from his mouth to mine.'"14

Thus does al-Bukhari, who records this incident, let us fall into a new contradiction because he also narrates saying that the Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) ordered the Muslims to learn how to recite the Qur'an from Abdullah ibn Mas'ud. For example, a narrative from Ibn 'Umar says that the Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) used to say, "Learn the recitation of the Qur'an from four men: Abdullah ibn Mas'ud...", thus starting by his name, or he said, "Learn the Qur'an from four men: Abdullah ibn Mas'ud, Salim slave of Abi Hudhayfah, Ubayy ibn Ka'b and Mu'adh ibn Jabal."15

So, how can the Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) order us to learn how to recite the Qur'an from those who do not memorize it very well?! We leave the answer to this question to al-Bukhari, of course, and to those who follow in his footsteps and who believe everything in his *Sahih*.

As for Muslim, the same is found in him, too. 'A'isha is quoted as having said, "From among what was revealed in the Qur'an this: 'ten known sucklings.' The Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) passed away and they were still recited as part of the Qur'an."16

This claim of 'A'isha contains a clear answer to those who advocate narratives such as these have been fabricated; otherwise, what does she mean when she claims that the recitation of such verses went on despite the death of the Prophet (ﷺ)?!

Abi al-Aswad quotes his father saying, "Abi Misa al-Ash'ari sent a message to those who recited the Qur'an in Basra, and they were three hundred men. From among what he said to them was this: 'We used to recite a Chapter which we used to liken in its length and strength to [Surat] Bar'a, but I memorized from it only this: 'Had the son of Adam had two valleys full of wealth, he would have desired a third, and nothing fills the stomach of the son of Adam except dust'.'"17

In the book titled *Al-Itqan fi 'Uloom al-Qur'an* by al-Suyuti, it is stated that some narratives indicate that the Qur'an has only 112 *suras* (Chapters), or they add two other chapters: those of Hafid and of Khal'18 or other such narratives from which we believe we have cited enough.

Having stated all the above, is it fit for a Sh'ah to say that the Qur'an of the Sunnis is incomplete, or it has an addition, due to the narrative advocating the same in their books of *hadith*? Certainly not. The consensus of the Sunnis is to say that the text of the Qur'an has never been altered.

As for the issue of the existence of narratives saying that such a text has been altered and which exist in books of "*Sahih*" (authentic) traditions, especially those recorded by al-Bukhari and Muslim and which the Sunnis have taken upon themselves to accept in their entirety in the pretext that all what is narrated in them is regarded by them as authentic, the interpretation of it is one of two possibilities without the

existence of a third: 1) Such narratives are “authentic” but they contain confusion which took place to those who narrated them as is the case with the stoning chapter, or 2) These narratives are not authentic as is the case with the other narratives which we mentioned above. Thus, there is no alternative to reconsidering the labeling of both books by al-Bukhārī and Muslim as the two “*Sahīh*” (authentic) books.

How can we, then, explain such a rabid campaign undertaken by writers such as Zahir, al-Khateeb and their likes who accuse the Shī‘ahs of distorting the text of the Qur’ān because of the existence of weak traditions in their books of traditions which make such a claim and which they themselves reject especially since their likes are many traditions recorded by Sunni traditionists in their “*Sahīh*” books?! One whose house is made of glass should not throw stones at others’ houses.

-
1. Muhammad Ridha al-Muzaffar, *‘Aqīd al-Imāmiyya*, p. 41 (third edition).
 2. *I‘tiqādāt al-Sadīq*.
 3. *Al-Sunnah al-Muftar‘ Alayha*, p. 60.
 4. *Dif‘an al-‘Aqeeda wal Shar‘ah dhidd Mat‘in al-Mustashriqeen*.
 5. Al-Bukhārī, *Sahīh*, Vol. 6, p. 508 in the book dealing with the merits of the Qur’ān in a chapter about forgetting the text of the Qur’ān.
 6. *Ibid.*, Vol. 6, p. 291 in the book of tafsīr where “... so among them were those who died..., etc.” is explained.
 7. *Ibid.*, Vol. 6, p. 162 in the book of tafsīr in a chapter discussing “... A Messenger has come to you from your own selves...”
 8. *Ibid.*, Vol. 8, p. 539 in the book of fighters from among the people of apostasy in a chapter about stoning a woman who became pregnant out of wedlock.
 9. *Ibid.*, Vol. 9, p. 212 in the book of Ahkām (religious injunctions) in a chapter about testimony before a judge.
 10. Ibn Dīwān, *Sunan*.
 11. Al-Bukhārī, *Sahīh*, Vol. 9, p. 476 in the book of Tawhīd in a chapter about what can be interpreted of the Torah.
 12. Abū Bakr al-Jaz‘irī, *This is my Advice to Every Shī‘ah man*.
 13. Al-Bukhārī, *Sahīh*, Vol. 8, p. 540 in the book of fighters from among the people of apostasy in a chapter about stoning a woman who became pregnant through adultery.
 14. *Ibid.*, Vol. 5, p. 71 in the book dealing with the virtues of the saḥābah in a chapter about the merits of Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd.
 15. *Ibid.*, Vol. 5, p. 71 in the book dealing with the virtues of the saḥābah in a chapter about the merits of Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd.
 16. Muslim, *Sahīh*, Vol. 2, p. 1075 (edition of Dīr Ihyā‘ al-Turūth al-‘Arabi) in the book of nursing babies in a chapter about prohibition in five sucklings.
 17. *Ibid.*, Vol. 2, p. 726 in the book of zakāt (edition of Dīr Ihyā‘ al-Turūth al-‘Arabi) in a chapter titled “Had the son of Adam had two valleys full of wealth, he would have desired a third”.
 18. al-Suyūti, *Al-Itqān fi ‘Uloom al-Qur’ān*, p. 65.

Source URL:

<https://www.al-islam.org/truth-about-shia-ithna-ashari-faith-asad-wahid-al-qasim/sh%C4%AB%E2%8>

0%99ahs-and-holy-qur%E2%80%99%C4%81n