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In this text, a three-part series written by Rasul Ja’fariyan, the author analyzes traditions from Sunni and Shia sources regarding Tahrif (alterations), also from semantic and textual point of view. Evidences for the absence of Tahrif are presented via historical events and traditions.

A Study of Sunni and Shii Traditions Concerning Tahrif Part 1

A1–Raghib al–’Isfahani, in his Mufradat al–Qur’an, defines `tahrif as a way of interpreting a certain speech or writing so that it appears to denote two different meanings.’ According to this definition, tahrif is not an alteration of the actual words and phrases of a text but the alteration of its meanings. 1 It is in this sense that tahrif has been used in the following Qur’anic verse:

Some of the Jews pervert words (yuharrifuna) from their meanings... (4:46)

The verse indicates that the Jews used to alter the meanings of the scripture while keeping its actual words. Although tahrif literally means semantic alteration (al–tahrif al–ma`nawi), the term has also been
in use for textual alteration (al–tahrif al–lafzi). Thus tahrif is of two kinds: semantic and textual.

1. Semantic Alteration

This kind of tahrif has definitely been committed in regard to the Qur'an, particularly in some of its exegeses whose authors have tried to impose meanings on the Qur'anic verses different from their literal sense, in order to justify the doctrines and ideas of certain schools. It is about this kind of tahrif that al-'Imam al-Baqir (a.s.) said:

وجان من نبذهم الكتاب أن أقاموا حروفه وحرفوا حدوده، فهم يرونون ولا يرعونه

“They have recorded its letter but changed its meaning. They narrate its text without heeding its contents” 2

2. Textual Alteration

One can speak of three possible kinds of alteration in respect to the Qur'an: in letters and vowelization, in words, in verses and surahs.

As to the first kind, it has definitely occurred, and this is borne out by the seven or ten different readings of some of the Qur'anic verses. We believe that this difference did not originate from God Almighty or the Prophet (S); it emerged due to the lack of sufficient precision on the part of the early Muslims in recording the reading taught by the Prophet (S).

It also occurred due to their dispersion in different regions, like Syria and Iraq, which resulted in the divergence of accent, leading in turn to the tahrif in letters and vowels. Possibly, another reason of it was the absence of points and diacritical marks in the early copies of the Qur'an. This led to such different readings as تَوَا and نُوَيْنَوَرَانِ and قَتَنِّيَةَ.

Such differences have been recorded by the Sunnis in their works of tafsir and gira'ah and the Shi`is have also narrated them in their books. Al- Tabrisi, in his exegesis, Majma- al-Bayan, has recorded the differences of readings from Sunni scholars.

As to tahrif in words, it may be said that only a special kind of it has occurred in the Qur'an, and most of such differences have been narrated in the traditions of the Ahl al–Sunnah. The cause of this tahrif is partly a result of tahrif in letters and vowels, and partly the belief in the permissibility of substituting a synonym for a word has been responsible for it. Ibn Masud is on record as having expressly declared his belief in such a permissibility.3

However, it should be pointed out that this kind of tahrif is not important, for, as we shall see later, the isolated traditions (akhbdr ahad) that purport the occurrence of tahrif in words do not deserve any
credence. But as to tahrif in words, in the sense that some words be missing from the present Qur’an, it is something which is not accepted by Muslims in general, excepting a few individuals.

As to tahrif in the verses and surahs, most of the related traditions are of a Sunni origin and only some of them come from Shi‘i sources. Of course, no credence is attached to such traditions by Muslims in general, excepting some traditionalists (akhbariyun) among the Shi`ah and the Ahl al-Sunnah. With the succor of God, we will briefly discuss this matter in the following pages.

The Reasons for Believing in the Absence of Tahrif

1. Some exegetes of the Qur’an have based their argument in favour of the absence of tahrif in the Qur’an on the following verse:

\[
\text{اَنَّا نَزَّلْنَا الْذِّكْرَةَ وَاَنَا لَهَا لَحَافِظٌ}
\]

Verily, We have sent down the Remembrance (i.e. the Qur’an), and, verily, We are its protector. (15:9)

The late `Allamah Tabatabai says in regard to this verse:

It (the Qur’an) is the everlasting Remembrance, secure from being lost or forgotten. It is secure from any addition or decrease which may deprive it from being the Remembrance. It is secure from any change in its form and text that may alter its quality of being a Divine Reminder expounding the truths of the Divine teaching. Hence this verse indicates the security of the Divine Scripture from all kinds of tahrif. The verse, together with its context, indicates the security of the Remembrance, that is, the Qur’an, for ever after its revelation.4

Al-Zamakhshari, while discussing this verse, says:

He (God) is its protector at all times from every kind of addition or loss, alteration or change, unlike the former scriptures. This is an indication that the Qur’an is a sign sent down by Him. For had it not been a Divine sign or had it been the speech of a mortal, it would have been subject to increase and decrease, as happens in all speech other than it.5

Al-Sayyid al-Khui says:

This verse signifies that the Qur’an is secure from tahrif and that it is not possible for the hands of tyrants to make a plaything of it.6

Al-Fakhr al-Razi says regarding this verse:

(It means that) We shall protect this Remembrance from tahrif, addition and loss.7
Al-Fayd al-Kashani says:

(It means), We shall protect it from tahrif, addition and loss. 8

Abu `Ali al-Tabarsi says:

`And We are its protector' means that We shall protect it from addition and loss, tahrif and change. And al-Hasan is reported to have said: "Its meaning is that God has taken upon Himself to safeguard it until the end of time and charged the Ummah with transmitting it from generation to generation until the Day of Resurrection, in order to establish God's hujjah upon all those who have accepted the Prophet's invitation."9

Answers to objections against this argument:

(a) It may be said that although the verse, undeniably, states the immunity of the Qur'an from textual corruption, it implies the textual security of the Book possessed by some individuals. The answer to this is that the Qur'an has been revealed by God for the purpose of leading man to the ultimate goal of his creation and to guide him on the straight path through different ages. This objective is not served if the Qur'an is preserved only for some individuals. Hence the verse necessarily implies its preservation for mankind in general.

Moreover, what is the use of its preservation for a few persons? Is the purpose of its revelation only its preservation, not its usefulness for mankind? If the purpose were mere preservation, then it was sufficiently served by its preservation in al-Lawh a1-Mahfuz. But if the purpose is general guidance, it is not secured by its mere preservation with some persons.

Al-Sayyid al-Khui, rejecting this objection, says: `By 'Remembrance' (in the verse) is meant the same Qur'an either read or written – that was revealed to the Messenger of God (S). By its `preservation' is meant safeguarding it from loss and corruption, so that it remains accessible to all human beings. For instance, when we say that `the ode of so and so has been preserved,' we mean that its text, remaining secure from loss and destruction, is accessible to us."10

(b) It may be said that the various editions of the Qur'an that have been, or still are, published throughout the Muslim World, do contain errors and omissions, leading sometimes to unintentional omission of a word, or a verse, or two. If the above–mentioned verse were taken to imply the immunity of the Qur'an from all kinds of tahrif, to be sure all its prints should have been free of such kind of typographical errors?

The answer to this objection is that these kinds of errors do not cast any doubt on the Book's preservation by God, for they are not of such an extent as to destroy the real text. The available error–free prints of the Qur'an are sufficient to disclose these kinds of errors to anybody.

(c) Some may say that it is not correct to base one's argument about the absence of corruption in the
Qur’anic text on that text itself, because it is possible that the verse on which this argument is based
might have itself undergone textual corruption. Thus, if we allow the possibility of interpolation in verse
15:9, we cannot validly base our argument upon it.\footnote{11}

The answer to this objection is that there is consensus among all Muslim scholars as to the absence of
corruption in this verse. Moreover, no one has ever claimed that the verse has undergone tahrif or
interpolation.

2. Another verse of the Qur’an which is cited to support the immunity of the Qur’an from tahrif is the
following one:

\begin{center}
\textit{Falsehood comes not to it from before it nor from behind it; a sending down from One All-wise,
All-laudable. (41:42)}
\end{center}

This verse states that no kind of falsehood can find way into the Qur’an; tahrif, being clearly a form of
falsehood (batil), cannot find way into the sacred text of the Qur’an. `Allamah Tabatabai, while discussing
this verse in his tafsir of the Qur’an, says: `The meaning of the `coming of falsehood’ to it, is the entry of
falsehood into it, to invalidate some parts or all of it, by changing its truthful teachings, in part or in
entirety, into untruths or by annulling its laws and commands, wholly or partly, so that it is no longer
legitimate to act according to them.”\footnote{12}

\section*{Evidence of the Absence of Tahrif from Tradition}

1. There are a great number of traditions, both in Sunni and Shi’i sources, from the Prophet (S) and the
Imams of Ahl al-Bayt (A), that prescribe that one should judge the veracity of traditions by collating them
with the Qur’an. A tradition should be accepted when confirmed by the Qur’an and rejected if it goes
against it. Following are some of these traditions:

\begin{center}
قول الرسول صلوات الله عليه واله: تكثر الأحاديث لكم بعدي فإذا روي لكم عنى حديث فاعرضوه على كتاب الله

تعالي فما وافقه فاقيلوه وأعلموا أنه مني، وما خالفه فردوه

The Prophet (S) said: ”You will be confronted with a multiplying number of traditions after me. Therefore,
when a hadith is narrated to you, compare it with the Book of God; accept that which agrees with it and
reject that which contradicts it.”\footnote{13}
\end{center}

وقوله أيضاً: إن على كل حق حقيقة وعلى كل صواب نورا. فما وافق كتاب الله فخذه وما خالف كتاب الله
فدعوه.
The Prophet (S) said: “There is a truthful sign that accompanies every truth, and there is a light which goes with every correct notion. So, take that which agrees with the Book of God and leave that which contradicts it.”

Al-‘Imam al-Sadiq (A) said: "Every hadith that does not agree with the Book of God is a falsehood." 14

The Qur’an is a criterion of the veracity of hadith in the light of these traditions. This criterion also holds in the case of those reports which imply the occurrence of tahrif in the Qur’an. Accordingly, it is necessary that we regard the Qur’an as being free from alteration and corruption. There are two points which are mentionable as arguments in support of the purity of the Qur’anic text on the basis of these traditions:

Firstly, the Qur’an has priority over hadith and it is a criterion of its veracity. This shows that the Qur’an is secure from tahrif, otherwise it would have been illogical to make it such a criterion if it is not secure from tahrif.

Secondly, those who argue in favour of the occurrence of tahrif in the Qur’an, their argument contradicts these traditions which agree with the above-mentioned Quranic verses in refuting the possibility of tahrif in the Qur’an. Moreover, in accordance with the traditions quoted above, the Prophet (S) and the Imams have ordered us to give no credence to those traditions which imply the occurrence of tahrif in the Qur’an, for they contradict the explicit declaration of the Qur’an itself that it is secure from tahrif and corruption. Writing in this connection, al-Fayd al-Kashani says:

There are an abundant number of traditions of the Prophet (S) and the Imams (A) which require the comparison of a hadith with the Book of God, in order that its veracity should be known by its agreement with the Book or its falsity be confirmed by its contradiction with it. Now, if the Qur’an that is in our hands today be regarded as having undergone tahrif, what would be the use of comparing any tradition with it? Therefore, it must be said, the traditions which imply the occurrence of tahrif are contrary to the Book of God, and hence it is necessary either to reject them as unauthentic or to reinterpret their meaning.

An objection that may be raised against this argument is that it is possible that such minor interpolations and omissions might have occurred in some parts of the Qur’an as have no significance in that they do not affect its meanings, doctrines and laws (and it will be possible to refute this objection after we have expounded the meaning of the tradition). But, indeed, this extent of tahrif in the Qur’an was of no use to the deviate and the hypocrites who might have caused such tahrif. On the other hand, there has been a great effort on the part of Muslims and their scholars to preserve the Qur’an, and they have exercised meticulous care in guarding its contents, being scrupulous even in regard to a single Law, as will be seen presently.
2. Of the traditions which support the security of the Qur’an from tahrif is the so-called Hadith al-Thaqalayn, which has been widely narrated by various Islamic sects. Following is one of its versions as given by al-Darimi in his Sunan:

The Prophet (S) said: "Verily, I leave behind among you two weighty things: The Book of God and in it is guidance and light, so hold on to the Book of God (he encouraged and urged them to follow the Book of God) — and my Ahl al-Bayt. I call upon you to bear God in mind regarding my Ahl al-Bayt" (and the Prophet [S] said this thrice)) 15

Holding fast to the Qur'an", as clarified by Amir Al-Muminin 'Ali (A) in the following tradition, means, deriving guidance and light from it:

It is your duty to follow the Book of God, for it is the strong rope, the manifest light, the beneficial cure and the thirst–quenching spring. It is the protection (against sin) for one who holds on to it and the (means of) deliverance for one who attaches himself to it. It does not become crooked, so as to need straightening, and it does not deviate, so as to need corrective action. Frequent recitation and listening do not make it worn out. Whoever speaks in accordance with it speaks the truth, and whoever acts in accordance with its teaching overtakes others) 16

There are frequent references of this kind in Imam 'All's sermons regarding the Qur'an, all of which clearly emphasize that the extant Qur'an guides its follower to the straight path, for there is no doubt that the Qur'an that we possess today is the same as the one possessed by the Muslims in Imam `All's times. Following are some more of his statements regarding the Qur'an:

("قال (عليه السلام):"
Know that this Qur'an is an adviser that does not deceive, a leader that does not mislead, and a speaker who never lies. No one sits in its company without achieving an addition and a diminishing on rising up – an addition in guidance and a diminishment in blindness. And know that there is no (spiritual) poverty for one after association with the Qur'an and there is no plentitude for anyone before that. Therefore, seek from it the remedy for your ailments and seek its help in your distress, for, indeed, it contains the cure for the greatest of diseases, which are unbelief, hypocrisy, rebelliousness and misguidance)  

Verily, the Qur'an is outwardly beautiful and inwardly profound. Its wonders are imperishable and its marvels are immortal. The darkness (of human life) cannot be penetrated without it  

In the Qur'an are the reports of those who lived before you and the tidings of those who will come after you, and in it is the judgment regarding you)  

The Prophet (S) said:

You will not go astray if you hold fast to the Book of God.

Collection of the Qur'an During Prophet's Time

There is no doubt that the Qur'an was written down and assembled in its entirety during the Prophet's days. Thus there is no room for accepting the view that it was collected after him (S). The evidence in this regard is provided by the traditions narrated in this regard, as well as by the opinions of Muslim scholars.

(a) Following are some traditions narrated by the Ahl al-Sunnah regarding the collection of the Qur'an by some Companions during the Prophet's lifetime.

1. Qatadah is reported to have asked Anas ibn Malik as to who had collected the Qur'an during the Prophet's lifetime. "There were four", replied Anas, "all of them from the Amar: Ubayy ibn Ka'b, Muldh ibn Jabal, Zayd ibn Thabit, and Abu Zayd, and we inherited it (the Qur'an) from them." It should be pointed
out that by 'collecting the Qur'an' here is not meant its memorization; for, there were many besides the above-mentioned among the Prophet's Companions who remembered the entire Qur'an by heart.

2. Zayd ibn Thabit is reported to have said: "We were with the Prophet (S) compiling the Qur'an on riga' (paper, leaves, skin)." 

3. Ibn Abi Dawild reports with a hasan sanad from Muhammad ibn K'ab al-Qurazi that he said: "Five persons belonging to the Ansar collected the Qur'an during the Prophet's era: Muldh ibn Jabal, 'Ukiah ibn Samit, Ubyy ibn Ka'b, Abu al-Darda', and Abu Ayyub Al-Ansari.

4. Al-Bayhaqi and Ibn Abi Dawild record al-Sha'bi as having said: "Six persons collected the Qur'an during the Prophet's era: Ubyy, Zayd, Muldh, Abu al-Darda', Sa'id ibn `Ubayd and Abil Zayd."

This tradition of al-Sha'bi is well-known, but some narrators changed his words, to state that 'the qurra' of the Qur'an were six during the Prophet's era.' But it is obvious that the Qur'an was recited by more than six persons during that time, and the six specifically mentioned here were those who had collected the Qur'an in writing.

5. That which has been said above is corroborated by the reports that 'Ali (A) collected the Qur'an in three days after the Prophet's demise. The sources of this report will be mentioned later, but it also points towards the fact that the Qur'an was committed to writing in its entirety during the Prophet's lifetime and 'Ali put it together in three days. Otherwise it is not feasible to say that he (A) wrote it or memorized it by heart in three days, as claimed by some.

6. 'Ali ibn Ibrahim reports that the Prophet (S) ordered that the Qur'an, which was written on leaves of silk (hafir) and paper (qirtds) kept in his house, be collected, lest it should perish like the Torah and the Gospel.


8. Ibn Ishaq also states: "Majma' was a young boy when he collected the Qur'an during the Prophet's era."

9. Ibn Habban reports that Ubyy collected the Qur'an during the Prophet's era, and God ordered the Prophet (S) to recite the Qur'an to Ubyy.

As can be understood from these traditions the collectors of the Qur'an were four or six, otherwise its qurra (القراء) and Huffaz (الحفظ) were certainly many. Al-Zarkashi gives the names of seven Companions who read the complete Qur'an before the Prophet (S).
Following are the opinions of some scholars regarding the collection of the Qur'an during the Prophet's lifetime:

1. Al-liarith al-Muhasibi says: "The writing of the Qur'an is not a new thing, for the Prophet (5) used to order that it should be written down. However, the Qur'an was scattered on riqa', scapulae, and palm leaves and so al-Siddiq (Abu Bakr) ordered it to be copied in its entirety in a single place, from the scattered pages found in the Prophet's house. Thus he collected it and had it sewn together with thread in order that nothing of it should be lost." 32

2. Abu Shamah says: "Their purpose (that of Abu Bakr and 'Umar) was that the Qur'an should be written down exactly as it was written during the time of the Prophet." 33

3. Al-Zarkashi says: "Undoubtedly, Ubayy ibn Ka`b, 'Abd Allah ibn Mas`Cid, and Mu`adh ibn Jabal collected the Qur'an, and this is confirmed by evidence." 34

4. Al-Zurqini says: "The Prophet (S) would point, out to them the place where a surah should be written, and they would write it on the available material, such as `usub (palm leaves), likhaf (whitestone tablets), riga', adim (pieces of sheepskin), bones and scapulae, and put them in the Prophet's house. In this way passed the Prophet's era and this is how the Qur'an was collected." 35

5. Dr. 'Abd Shahin writes: "The Qur'an was recorded orally and in writing during the Prophet's era." 36

6. Muhammad al-Ghazali writes: "When the Prophet (S) ascended towards the Sublime Abode, the Qur'an, in entirety, had been preserved in breasts (sudur) and in writing (sutur):' 37

7. Al-Baqillani says: "No man on the earth is more ignorant than the one who imagines that the Prophet (5) treated the Qur'an with negligence, whereas he had appointed the most learned and well-known scribes of the Muhajiran and the Ansar for that purpose." 38

We affirm what al-Baqillani has said, for it is sheer ignorance to imagine such a thing when the Prophet (S), as mentioned by various narrators, had about forty scribes among the Companions who wrote the Qur'an, and of whom some were especially appointed by him for the task. 39

Moreover, the Prophet (S), aside from the writing of the revelation, is known to have greatly emphasized the writing of hadith: بالكتابة العلم قيدوا (arrest knowledge by writing). 40 He is on record as having asked different persons — of whom one is `Abd Allah ibn `Amr ibn — to commit hadith (ilm) to writing. 41

With the kind of conditions that prevailed in the Arabia of the time, together with the possibility of the loss of the Qur'an, and the warnings of the Book regarding the corruption of the scriptures of the Jews and Christians (2:79, for instance), was it possible that the Prophet (S) should have been neglectful about its writing and that it should have remained unwritten until Zayd ibn Thabit "was forced to collect it from the breasts of men"? Can anyone entertain such a possibility when we have the additional evidence of its collection by the Prophet in the form of the following reports?
It is reported that "Whenever a revelation came to the Prophet (S) he would order one of the scribes, such as Zayd ibn Thabit or someone else, to write the revelation down." 42

`Uthman ibn Abi al-`as is reported to have said: "I was sitting with the Prophet (S) when his eyes became fixed on a point for a while, then he said, "Gabriel came to me, and he commanded me to put this verse in this place in this surah." 43

Ibn `Abbas is reported to have said: "When a surah was revealed to the Prophet (S), he would call some scribe and tell him to put the surah in such and such a place." 44

It is reported that Gabriel would present the Qur'an to the Prophet (S) every year, and in the last year of his life the Qur'an was presented twice to him by Gabriel.45

In the light of these reports, it can be stated with assurance that the Qur'an was collected in its entirety during the Prophet's lifetime and the 'collection' done by Abi Bakr and others was in fact the copying of what had been written down, in one codex. These traditions also allow us to refute which has been reported by some writers about the occurrence of tahrif, who also admit the tawatur of the Qur'an after its collection. Now if the Qur'an was collected during the Prophet's lifetime, its tawatur also goes back to that period, and it is not admissible to imagine that tahrif could have occurred after that time.

**Evidence from History**

There is evidence in the early history of Islam in support of the absence of any intentional tahrif in the Qur'an by the Companions of the Prophet (S).

1. Of these is the tradition according to which `Umar is reported to have said: "Had it not been for (the fear of) the people saying that `Umar added something to the Book of God, I would have written the ayat al-rajm with my own hand (into the Quran)." 46

It is also reported that `Uthman insisted on the deletion of the letter 'waw' from the ayat al-kanz while the codices were being written in the Surat al-Bard'ah), but he had to desist due to the resistance of other Companions. 'Alba' ibn Ahmad reports that when `Uthman intended the deletion of the waw, Ubayy said to him: "You will have to write it, otherwise I will take up my sword." Uthman had to give in.47

2. A similar incident is related of the Second Caliph in regard to the Surat al-Bar'ah. Abu `Ubayd, Ibn Jarir, Ibn al-Mundhir, and Ibn Mardawayh have recorded a tradition from Habib al-Shahid, from `Amr ibn 'Amir al-'Ansari that `Umar recited the verse 9:100, deleting a waw and read it as follows:

والسايقوان الأولون من المهاجرين والأنصار الذين أتبعوه بِإحسانٍ
Zayd ibn Thabit corrected him, saying: واَلَّذِينَ, ал-нин, the Prophet. He repeated `Umar, insisting that his reading is correct. On this Zayd said: 'Amir al Mu'rrninin knows better.' However, `Umar asked for Ubayy ibn Kaab to be brought. When he came, `Omar asked him about the correct reading. "It is واَلَّذِينَ," said Ubayy. 48

3. In another tradition cited by al-Suyati from Abu al-Shaykh and narrated by Abu Usamah and Muhammad ibn Ibrahim al-Tamimi, the two are reported to have said: "Umar ibn al-Khattab once happened to pass by a man reciting

وَالسَّابِقُونَ الأولُونَ من المُهاجِرِينَ والأنصارِ وَالذينَ آتَعُوهُمُ إِجْحَّاسًا

And the first forerunners [in the faith] among the Muhajireen and the Ansar and those who followed them with good conduct (9:12)

`Umar stopped, and when the man had finished reciting asked him as to who had taught him to read it (as he did). `Ubayy ibn Ka`b,' replied the man. 'Let us go to him', said `Omar. When they went to Ubayy, `Umar said to him, 'O Abir al-Mundhir, tell me, did you teach him to read this verse like this?' He is right,' said Ubayy, 'I heard it from the Prophet's mouth.' You heard it from the Prophet's mouth?' asked `Umar again. 'Yes', said Ubayy, for the third time, getting angry, 'By God, God has revealed it to Gabriel without ever consulting either al-Kattab or his son!' On this, `Umar went away, raising his hands and crying, 'Allah-u akbar! Allah-u akbar!' 49

Tahrif in Sunni and Shii Traditions

The purpose of this study about the issue of tahrif in the Qur'an is to examine the doubts raised by some traditionalists on the basis of some traditions which apparently indicate the presence of tahrif in the Qur'an. By the way, our aim is also to refute the allegations of those who ascribe the belief in tahrif of the Qur'an to the Shi`ah in general, on the meager basis that a few of them have believed in it on the basis of an uncritical reliance on the traditions and without a careful examination of their texts and asnads.

Moreover, it is obvious that the sole presence in Shi`i collections of hadith of traditions implying tahrif in the Qur'an cannot be a valid ground for imputing such a belief to the Shi`ah, for we see that the number of such traditions implying the presence of defects in the Quranic text — and those that pertain to the purported exclusion of some verses, or to the deletion of bismillah from the Quranic text and so on — to be found in the Sunni works is much greater than those in the Shii collections of hadith.

Having argued in favor of the absence of tahrif in the Qur'an on the basis of the Qur'an and hadith, we shall examine the asnad and import of the traditions recorded by Sunni and Shii sources that appear to throw doubt on the authenticity and purity of the Quranic text.
Sunni Traditions About Tahrif

Variant Readings Amongst the Companions: Following are some traditions that relate to varying readings of the Qur’an by the Companions:

Umar’s Reading

1. Aban ibn ‘Imran says: 50 Ḥ said to Ḥ Abd al-Rahman ibn al-Aswad: Ḥ ‘You read صراط من أنعمت عليهم غير المنفضوب عليهم وغير الضالين instead of صراط الذين أنعمت عليهم غير المنفضوب عليهم ولا الضالين

According to another tradition, Ibn al-‘Aswad and ‘Alqamah had prayed behind Ḥ Umar, who recited this verse in this fashion.51 There are five other traditions, each with a different chain (tariq) of transmission, that state that Umar recited this verse in the above-mentioned form.52

2. According to another tradition53, narrated through seven different turuq, Ḥ Umar used to recite verse 3:1,2 in this form:

الم الله لا إله إلا هو الحي القيوم

3. Sufyin ibn ‘Amr is reported to have said that he heard Ibn al-Zubayr read the verse 74:40 42 in this form:

في جحاث يتساءلون عن المجرمين ما سلكك في سفر

Sufyan ibn ‘Amr adds that he heard from Laqit that he had heard ibn al-Zubayr say that he had heard Ḥ Umar recite it in this way.54

Ubayy’s Reading

4. According to another tradition Said ibn al-Zubayr used to read verse 4:24 in this form:

فما استمتعتم به منهن إلى أجل مسمى
adding that such was the reading of Ubayy ibn Ka`b”.\(^{55}\)

5. Hammad reports that he had read لَلْذِينَ يُقَسَّمُونَ in the mushaf of Ubayy ibn Ka`bb\(^{56}\) (with لَلْذِينَ instead of الذين).

6. Again Hammad reports that he saw verse 2:158 written in this form in Ubayy's mushaf فلا جَنَاح عَلَى أَنَّ بعضهُ يُطْوِف بِهِما (with 

7. Al-Rabi` reports having read verse 5:89 written in this form in Ubayy's mushaf: \(^{58}\)

الميمن كفارة في متباعات ثلاثة فصيام

**Ibn Masud’s Mushaf**

8. Yasir ibn `Amr reports from ‘Abd Allah ibn Mas’ud that the latter read verse 4:40 in this form إن الله لا يظلم نملة (with نملة instead of نْ ﻓِي ﻟِذَات ﻧَزْلَةَ).\(^{59}\)

9. Also, al-Nazal reports Ibn Mas’ud to have recited the verse 3:43 like this: واركمي واسجد في الساجدين (instead of واسجد فعال واركمي مع الراكعين).\(^{60}\)

10. According to 'Al Ha, in the reading of Ib'n Mas’ud verse 2:197 في مواسم الحج (instead of فؤائد).\(^{61}\)

11. According to ‘Al-Hakam it is بل يداه بسطان في the reading of Ibn Masud (with بسطان instead of ﻓﺈن).\(^{62}\)

12. According to Sufyan it was وخيرا وازداد الفقهاء (without فإن فؤائد) in Ibn Masud reading of verse 2:197 (without فإن فؤائد).\(^{63}\)

13. According to Harun it was من يبلها فتاهلهم وما مددهم وبصلها في Ibn Mas’ild's reading of verse 2:61 (with فمهم وما مددهم).\(^{64}\) He says that Ibn ’Abbas also followed this reading.

14. According to Maymun ibn Mihran, Surah 103 was read as follows hi Ibn Masud's reading: \(^{65}\)

والعصر

إن الإنسان لقي خسر

والله فيه إلى آخر الدهر
15. According to Sufyan, the followers of Ibn Masud's reading read verse 2:202 in this fashion:

اختلف لهم نصبه مالكسيوا

Some similar other peculiarities of Ibn Mas'ud's reading are the following:

16. perhaps in verse 2:144 (instead of 67)

17. Shur'te.

18. Perhaps in verse 31:19. 70

19. Perhaps in verse 11:102 (without waw). 71

20. in verse 2:214. 72

After citing the above-mentioned differences of readings, the author of Ibn Masahif (pp.57 – 73) goes on to compare the reading of Ibn Masud with that of others. Similarly Ibn Abi Dawud also cites more than 130 instances, other than those cited above, of such instances of variant readings.

**Ibn `Abbas Reading**

After citing the above instances from the reading of Ibn Mas'ud, the author of al-Masahif goes on to cite the peculiarities of the reading of Ibn `Abbas. Some of them are the following:

22. in verse 2:158 (with the addition of 73).

23. in verse 2:198 (with the addition 74).

24. in verse 3:175 (with the addition of 75).

25. in verse 2:202 (with the addition instead of 76).

26. in verse 2:196. 77

27. in verse 3:159 (with the addition of 78).

28. in verse 22:52 (with the addition of 79).

29. in verse 36:30 (instead of 80).
30. كآنَكْ حفِيَّ بِها. (with بِها instead of عنها)

31. وإن عزموا السَّرَاح. (with السَّرَاح instead of الطلاق) There are nine more instances of this kind cited by a1–Masahif.

**Ibn al–Zubayr’s Mushaf**

32. سم الحجفيُّة موا لا جَناحٌ علَيكم أن تبدواً فضلاً من رَيْكُم. such was Ibn al–Zubayr’. reading of verse 2:198.

33. 'Amr reports having heard Ibn al–Zubayr say that وَحَرَّمٌ دَارَسُتُ in 21:95 should be read وَحَرَّمَ in 6:105 should be read حَمِيمَةُ in 88:4 and 101:11 should be read حَمِيمَةُ.

34. Ibn A1–Zubayr used to read 74:40 in this way: في جَنَّاتِ يَتساءلُون يا فَلَانَ ما سُلَكَ في سَفُرٍ.

35. He read verse 5:52 in this way: فَيُصْبِحُ النَّفَسُ أَلَّا أَسْرَوا في أَنْفُسِهِم نَادِمِينَ.

36. He read verse 3:104 in this way: ولكن منكم امَّة يَدعون إلى الخير ويستعينون بالله على ما اصابهم.

The Mushaf of ʿAbd Allah ibn Amr ibn al–‘As:

37. Al–Masahif records a riwayah of Abu Bakr ibn ʿAyaash in which he states that Shuʿayb ibn Shuʿayb ibn Muhammad ibn Amr ibn As showed him the mushaf written by ʿAbd Allah ibn ʿAmr ibn al–‘As, which read differently from the common reading of the Qur’ān.

**ʿAʿishah’s Mushaf**

38. ʿUrwah says that he found verse 2:238 written thus in the mushaf of ʿAʿishah: حَافَظُوا عَلَى الصُّلُوْاتِ والصُّلُوْة الَّوْسُطِيَّة وصَلاَةِ الْعَصْر.

39. Ibn Abi al–Hamid reports from Hamidah that she said that she found the verse 33:56 written thus in the mushaf of ʿAʿishah:

ان الله وملائكته يصلون على النبي وعلى الذين يصلون في الصوف الأولي

Hamidah is reported to have added that “this was before” Uthman changed the mushaf.

Hafsah’s Mushaf:

40. Salim ibn ʿAbd Allah reports that Hafsah asked Anas to write the Qur’ān for her. She told him that when he reached verse 2:238 he should write: حَافَظُوا عَلَى الصُّلُوْاتِ والصُّلُوْة الَّوْسُطِيَّة وصَلاَةِ الْعَصْر. This riwayah has been transmitted through several different turuq.
Umm Salamah's Mushaf

41. `Abd Allah ibn Abi Rafi` reports that Umm Salamah asked him to write the Qur'an for her, telling him to inform her when he reached verse 2:238. She told him to write:

\[
\text{وصلاة الفجر، صلى الله عليه وسلم وصلاة الظهر، صلى الله عليه وسلم وصلاة العصر، صلى الله عليه وسلم وصلاة المغرب، صلى الله عليه وسلم وصلاة العشاء، صلى الله عليه وسلم}
\]

حافظوا على الصلاوات و الصلاة الوسطى وصلاة العصر

Variant Readings amongst the Tabiun

42. Ubayd ibn Umayr is reported to have said that the first verse to be revealed was سبحة اسمك ربك الذي افتقر باسم ربك الذي خلق: 93 (instead of 96:1)

43. Ata read 3:17 in this way: 94

44. Ikrimah read 2:184 in this way: 95

45. Mujahid read 2:158 in this way: 96

46. Said ibn Jubayr read 5:5 in this way: 97 أحل لكم الطيبات وطعام الذين أوتوا الكتاب من قبلكم with the addition of من قبلكم.

47. S`a`id also read 7:117 in this way: 98 تلقف فذا هي تلبم ما يأفكون with it instead of تلقف.

48. `Alqamah and al-`Aswad used to read 1:7 in this manner: 99 صراط من انعمت عليهم غير المغضوب عليهم ولا الضالين

49. Muhammad ibn Abi Musa read 5:103 in this way: 100 ولكن الذين كفروا يفترون على الله الكذب واكثرهم لا يفقوون with يفقوون instead of يلفتون.

50. Hattan ibn `Abd Allah used to swear that 3:144 was like this وما محمد إلا رسول قد خلت من قبله رسول وما محمد إلا رسول قد خلت من قبله رسول with the addition of instead of 101 الرسول.

51. S`a`id ibn kaysan read and جاءتهم البينات instead of جاءتهم البينات instead of 102 يكاد. 

52. Al A`mash is reported to have read 6:138, 103 الغيام الله لا اله الا هو الحي القيام instead of 103 الغيام

53. Al A`mash read in 6:138 with the addition of 104 جزئ instead of جزئ.

Tahrif in the Sihah and other Works

There are many traditions in the six Sihah, as well as in other compilations, which imply the occurrence of tahrif in the Qur’anic text. If one were to regard these traditions as authentic, one will have to admit the occurrence of tahrif. Following are some of these:
1. Ibrahim ibn `Alqamah says: “I entered al-Sham with a group of `Ubayd Allah’s companions. Abu al-Darda’ heard of our coming. He came to us and asked, “Is there any one among you who is a qari?” Yes’, said we. “Which one of you?” asked he. They pointed towards me. He asked me to recite. I recited (instead of ) in 92:3. He said, 'Did you hear it from Your teacher’s mouth? ’Yes’, I said, 'I heard it from the Prophet’s mouth, and yet they reject (our assertion).’” 105

2. Anas ibn Malik reports that Ra`l, Dhakwan, `Asyah and Bami Kiyan asked the Prophet’s help against their enemies. He aided them with seventy men of the Ansar whom we called the reciters’ (qurrie). They collected wood by day and prayed by night, until they were killed treacherously by the Well of Maunah. When the news reached the Prophet (S) he would, for a month, curse the Arab tribes of Rai, Dhakwan, `Asyah and Banu Kiyan in the qunat of the morning prayer. Anas says: ‘We recited a verse of the Qur’an regarding them which was omitted afterwards:

بلغوا عننا قومنا انا للفينان رينا فرضي عن وارضانا

حدثنا الافلي ... عن انس بن مالك ان رعلا و ذكوان وعصية وبني كيان استمدوا رسول الله علیهم فامهم يسعين من الانصار كنا نسممهم القراء في زمانهم كانوا يحتطبون بالنهار و يصلون بالليل حتى اذا كانوا يشعر معونه فقلوه و غدوا بهم فبلغ النبي (ص)ذلك فقنت شها يدعو في الصبح على احباب من احباب العرب على رعل و ذكوان و عصية و بني كيان. قال انس: فقروا فيهم قرانا ثم ان ذلك رفع. بلغوا عننا قومنا انا للفينان رينا فرضي عن واردانا

3. `Umar said: “Had it not been for (the fear of) the people saying that `Umar added something to the Book of God, I would have written the ayat al-raim with my own hand (into the Qur’an).” 107

According to this riwayah, it appears that `Umar believed in the tahrif of the Qur’an and that it was incomplete, for the said ‘verse’ is absent from the extant Qur’an. He says that the verse was a part of the Qur’an not that its reading (tiletwah) had been abolished. Hence al-Suyuti quotes al-Zarkashi, in al-Burk-in, as stating: “The statement apparently means that it was permissible for Umar to have written it, but that he was deterred on account of public opinion. However, a thing which is permissible always
remains such, although sometimes it may be prevented by some external hindrance. 108

4. It has been reported from Ibn Mas‘ud that he had omitted the Surat al-Falaq and Surat al-Nos from his mushaf and he did not consider these two surahs as part of the Qur’an). 109

5. Al-Bukhari in his Ta’rikh records Hudhayfah as having said: “I had learnt the reading of the Surat al-Ahzab from the Prophet (S), but I forgot seventy of its verses, which I could not find (anywhere).” 110

Also, Abu Ubayd, in al-Faddil, as well as Ibn al Anbari and Ibn Mardawayh, report ‘A‘ishah as having said:

كانت سورة الاحزاب تقرا في زمان رسول الله(ص) ماتتي اية فلما كتب عثمان المصاحف لم يقدر منها الا على ما هو الآن.

The Surat al-‘Ahzab as read during the Prophet’s days contained two hundred verses. But when 'Uthman wrote the mushaf, he could not find more of it than what it is now. 111

Following is another related riwayah about the surah

عن عبد الرزاق عن الثوري...عن زر بن حبيش قال: قال لي أبي بن كعب كأبى بن نقرأون سورة الاحزاب؟ قال: قلت نذرات وأربعين وإن أرباً وأربعين. قال فقلت: إن كانت نقارب سورة البقرة أو هي أطول منها وإن كانت فيها إية الرجم قال قلت: بالمنذر ما اية الرجم؟ قال: إذا زني الشيخ أو الشيخة فأرجعوها البينة نكلا من الله والله عزيز.

.Zirr ibn `Hubaysh says: “Ubayy ibn Kab asked me, “How many verses do you recite in the Surat al-‘Ahzab?” Seventy-three or seventy-four, “ I replied. ‘Never!’, he said. “It is about the length of the Surat al-Baqarah or lengthier, and in it is the ayat al-rajm.” I asked him, ‘Abu Mundhir, what is ayat al-rajm? He said: “It is: “When an old man and an old woman fornicate, stone the two of them; definitely, a punishment from God, and God is All-powerful, All-wise.” 112

6. Amr ibn Mir says: “I heard Bajitlah al-Tamimi state: ‘Umar ibn alKhattab found a mushaf with a youth in the mosque. In it was written: "بالمنذر ما اية الرجم؟ قال: إذا زني الشيخ أو الشيخة فأرجعوها البينة نكلا من الله والله عزيز.” Erase it, boy’, said ‘Umar. ‘I will not erase it, for it -is so in the of Ubayy ibn Ka‘b,’ said the youth. ‘Umar went to Ubayy, who told him: The Quran has kept me busy, and you have been busy making transactions in the bazaar.” 113

7. Abu Waqid al-Laythi says: “Whenever a revelation came to the Apostle of God, he would come to us
and teach us that which had been revealed to him. One day he came and said: 'Verily, God says: 114

 حدثنا عبد الله بن صالح عن هشام بن سعيد عن زيد بن أسلم عن عطاء عن يسار عن أبي واقف الليثي قال: كان رسول الله إذا أوحي الله إليه اتيتاه فعلمنا مما أوحي إلىه قال: فنجيت ذات يوم فقال ان الله يقول:

 انا انزلت الامام لاقام الصلاة، وأيضا الزكاة وان لابن أدم وابن أحيب أن يكون له الثاني ولو كان له الثاني لاحب ان "يكون اليمين الثلاثة ولا يمل جوف ابن ادم الا التراب ويتوب الله على من تاب.

 روى أبو حرب بن أبي الأسود عن أبيه قال: "يحدث أبو موسى الأشعري إلى قراء اهل البصرة فدخل عليه ثلاثمائة رجل قد قرأوا القرآن فقال: اتنتم خيار اهل البصرة وقرأهم فانهوا ولا بطولون عليه فكتبوا لما قرروا فلويكم كما قست قلوب من كان قبلكم وانا كنتا نقرأ سورة نشيتها في الطول والشدة براءة فانتستها. غير اني قد حفظت منها"... لو كان لابن أدم وابن أحيب من مال لابتغي واديان ثالثا ولا يمل جوف ابن ادم الا التراب. وكانا نقرأ سورة كنا نشيتها بأحدى المسببات فانتستها غير اني حفظت منها"...بابها الذين امنوا لا تقولون ما لا تفعلون فنكتب شهادة في اعفافكم فنسألون يوم القيامة.

8. Abu Harb ibn Abi–Aswad narrates from his father that he said: "Abu Musa AlAshari sent for the qurra of Basrah. Three hundred qurra of the Qur'an came to him. He told them, 'You are the elect of the people of Basrah.' He asked them to recite, which they did. (He told them), "Do not remain long without reciting the Quran, lest your hearts, like those who went before you, should harden. Indeed, we used to recite a surah similar in length and power to the Surat al–Bara'ah, which I forgot except for a single verse:

 لو كان لابن أدم وابن أحيب من مال لابتغي واديان ثالثا ولا يمل جوف ابن ادم الا التراب

We would also read a surah like one or the al–Musabbihat, 115 which I forgot all except this:

بابها الذين امنوا لا تقولون ما لا تفعلون فنكتب شهادة في اعفافكم فنسألون يوم القيامة.

9. `Abd Allah ibn Salamah reports Hudhayfah as having said: "What you read is a fourth of what it was". He meant the Surat alBarah. 116

10. Ibn `Abbas is reported to have said that verse 26:214 was revealed like this 117:

وانذر عشيرتك الأقربين ورهطك منهم المخلصين
11. `Umayrah ibn Farwah is reported to have said: "'Umar ibn al-Khattab said to Ubayy: 'Didn't we use to recite in our reading of the Book of God: "MA KFAR ABAYUKMIN AN ANFAEYAKM AN WLD ALFARASH WLEAUHAR ALHGREJ in what we have lost of the Book of God?"?' Yes,' said Ubayy, adding 'And didn't we use to recite: "ALWLD LLFARASH WLLUHAR ALHGREJ" in what we have lost of the Book of God?".

12. Al-Thawri is reported to have said, "We have been told that when the qurra among the Prophet's Companions were killed during the episode of Musaylamah, a part (huruf) of the Qur'anic scripture was lost (dhahabat)."

13. Al-Hasan is reported to have said, "'Umar ibn al-Kattab. intended to write this sentence in the mashaf: "

14. Al-Tabari records a marfu' tradition with a muwaththaq sanad from `Umar, that he said that the Qur'an consisted of one million and twenty-seven letters. However, the Qur'an that we possess does not contain more than a third of this number. With plenty of such examples in the books of Sunni scholars, it is strange that the Shi`ah should have been singled out by persons of dubious aims as believing in the tahrif of the Qur'an.

15. Ibn `Umar said: "Someone of you may claim that the whole of the Qur' n is in his possession. But what does he know about the whole of it? Much of the Qur'an has been lost (gad dhahab). Rather, he should say that he possesses that mach of it as is known (to be such)."

16. `A'ishah said: "In that which was revealed in the Quran was:

17. Malik said: "When the beginning part was dropped from it (Surat AlBarah), the bismillah was also dropped. It is definite that it used to be equal in length to the Surat al-Baqarah.
18. Ibn Mardawayh reports 1bn Masud as having said: "During the Prophet's lifetime, we used to read (verse 5:67) as follows: "O'Messenger! Make known that which hath been revealed unto thee from thy Lord, that Ali is the Mawla of the believers. For if thou do it not, thou will not have conveyed His message. Allah will protect thee from the people." 126

19. Ibn Majah records `Aishah as having said: "The verses of raim and ridaah (the latter referred to in 16 above) were revealed, and they were in a sahifah kept under my pillow. When the Messenger of God died and we were busy with his funeral, domestic animals entered (my room) and ate it up." 127

20. Abu Sufyan narrates that one day Maslamah ibn Mukhallad alAnsari, addressing a group in which was Abu al-Kannud Sa`d ibn Malik, said: "Tell me, what are the two verses of the Qur'an which were never written in the mushaf." When no one answered, Maslamah said: (They are ) 128

21. Al-Misawwar ibn Makhramah reports that `Umar asked `Abd al Rahman ibn `Awf: "Didn't you come across that which was revealed to us? For we don't find it." `Abd al-Rahman replied, "It is of those (verses) which have dropped from the Quran. 129
An Evaluation of the Sunni Traditions Regarding Tahrif

1. The tawatur of the Quranic text is regarded as a confirmed fact by all Muslims. Hence any tradition that explicitly or implicitly throws doubt on the tawatur of the Qur’an, or a part of it, is unacceptable. Also, on this basis, we have to reject all those traditions which state the abolishment (naskh) of the reading (tilawah) of some verses. Moreover, each of these traditions falls in the category of Odd, and as such they cannot contest the established tawatur of the Qur’an. They do not deserve any credence whatsoever, even if they have been transmitted through a technically Sahi chain of transmission, because they contradict the confirmed tawatur of the Qur’an as unanimously accepted by all Muslims.

2. We shall discuss later the divergent readings narrated from the Companions of some of the Quranic verses. But it should be pointed out here that these different readings emerged amongst the Companions after the Prophet (S), due to several factors. The Companions came from different tribes, each with its own characteristic accent. Most of them had not heard the entire Qur’an from the Prophet (S) himself. Some of them forgot the exact words of some verse or its correct reading, imagining that the correct reading was as they had remembered it, as is shown by many of the traditions mentioned above.

Later on when they dispersed throughout the various cities and towns, different readings became current in different places. Such was the situation when Hudhayfah, who was dismayed on observing the difference of reading between the people of Iraq, Syria and Azerbaijan, brought the matter to the notice of ‘Uthman. ‘Uthman, by preparing standard copies of the Qur’an and sending them to the various regions, brought about homogeneity amongst the people in regard to the reading of the Qur’an. This action saved the Qur’an from tahrif and loss, and ‘Uthman was supported in this step by Imam ‘Ali (A).

Accordingly, it may be said that the divergent readings narrated by the various qurra’ and exegetes were not all correct. Rather, only that reading whose tawatur is confirmed can be regarded as correct, and in a case where more than a single reading is mutawatir, it may be said that all of them can be regarded as acceptable.

3. As to the purported denial of Ibn Mas’ud that the Mu`awwidhatan (surahs 113 & 114) are part of the
Qur'an, some, like Fakhr al-Din al-Razi, do not believe that Ibn Mas'ud ever said such a thing. Even if he did, it cannot be accepted, for, as al-Nawawi points out, there is consensus amongst Muslims in regarding the al-Fatihah and the Mu`awwidhatan as part of the Qur'an, and there is confirmed tawatur in this regard. Hence Ibn Mas'ud's statement cannot be given any credence.

Also, Ibn Hazm and al-Baqillani\footnote{131} do not consider the ascription of this denial to Ibn Masud as authentic. Moreover, it has been reported that 'Asim took his qira'ah from Ibn Mas'ud and the presence of al-Fatihah as well as the two other surahs in Asim's mushaf is a confirmed fact. The author of Manahil al-`Irfan remarks that given the tawatur of their being part of the Quran\footnote{132} Ibn Mas'ud's denial is of no consequence.

Al-Qastallani, however, tries to explain away the traditions about Ibn Masud's denial, by suggesting that what Ibn Mas'ud meant is that he had not written the two surahs in his mushaf.\footnote{133} This explanation of al-Qastallani is untenable because if Ibn Mas'ud's denial did not pertain to their being part of the Qur'an, why should he have failed to write them in his mushaf?

As to the so-called surahs of al-Khar and al-Hafd and their ascription to Ubayy's mushaf, al-Qadi remarks:

It is not permissible to say of `Abd Allah, Ubayy ibn Kai, Zayd, `Uthman, or `Ali (A) or any of his sons and descendants, that they contested the authenticity of some verse or deleted or altered the Book of God or advocated some reading contrary to the conventional mode. The prayer narrated from Ubayy ibn Ka'b, which he had recorded in his mushaf, cannot be said to be a part of the Quran rather, it is a kind of prayer. It is reported that Ubayy had recorded it in his mushaf, and he would record in his mushaf other things beside the Quran, such as prayers and interpretations.\footnote{134}

Al-Baqillani also supports this view and adds that had it been a part of the Qur'an it would have been transmitted to us as such.\footnote{135}

As to the so-called ayat al-rajm narrated from Umar, it must be said that he is the sole person to have narrated it; hence the riwayah is a khabar wahid. Also, his contemporary Muslims did not accept it despite his great insistence. Moreover, the word which occurs in it, has not been used in any literary Arabic writing of the period.

Accordingly, the traditions found in Sunni works which imply the occurrence of tahrif in the Qur'an, whether they are found in the or some other work, do not deserve any credence. The Quranic text enjoys the tawatur of transmission, while these traditions lack it. They pertain either to some confusion or error of the Companions, or are basically unauthentic.

**The Bismalah and Tahrif**

Another issue that relates to the matter of tahrif in the Qur'an is the claim of some that the Basmalah at
the beginning of each Quranic surah is not part of the Quranic text. Al-Zamakhshari states that the jurists and qurra' of Madinah, Basrah, and al-Sham are of the opinion that the Basmalah is not part of the Surat al-Hamd and other surahs. 136

There is also a riwayah according to which it was revealed in parts. 137 Al-Baqqillani devotes several pages to this issue, trying to prove that the Bismalah is part of no Quranic surah except al-Naml. 138 Fakhr al Razi, sensing that such a view amounts to belief in tahrif of the Qur’an, has advanced an argument to refute it. He says:

If the Bismalah is not part of the Quran, it means that the Quran has not been secure from reduction, addition and alteration. If it be permissible to think that the Companions have added something to the Quran, then it would also be permissible to hold that they have deleted things from it. In such a case, the Qur’an loses its credibility and authority: 139

Al-Sayyid Ibn Tawus, in Sad al-masud, refuting the allegation of some Sunni scholar that the Shia believe in tahrif of the Qur’an, writes:

We find in your tafsir that you claim that the Bismalah is not part of the Holy Quran, although `Uthman had it written in the Qur’an. And such as the creed of your ancestors, who do not narrate it as a verse of the Quran. Although it constitutes one hundred and thirteen verses of the Holy Book, you claim that they are additional and are not part of the Quran. Then, O Abu ’Ali isn’t this a confession of yours that you have added something to the Quran which is not a part of it?’ 140

Al-Huruf al-Muqatta’ah and Tahrif

Al-Huruf al-Muqatta’ah are the disjointed letters (like ﬂ) occurring at the beginning of some surahs. Some Sunni scholars are on record (among them `Abd al-Rahman ibn Aslam) 141 as claiming that these letters are the names of the surahs. Now it has been said that the names of the surahs were adopted by the Companions, and if it is claimed that these letters are the names of the surahs in whose beginning they appear, the claim amounts to the belief that additions have been made to the Quranic text. Al-Sayyid Ibn Tawas, in the same book, answering a Sunni opponent, writes.

In your exegesis you state that the huruf muqatta’ah that occur in the beginning of the Quranic surahs are the names of the surahs. However, we see that this holy mushaf on which, as you say, your sire, ‘Uthman ibn `Affan, brought the people together, also contains other names at the beginning of the surahs which have the huruf muqatta’ah in addition to these huruf (letters). 142

Abolishment of Tilawah

The traditions which imply the loss of parts of some surahs — such as al-Baqarah and al–’Ahzab, etc. — were explained by some as referring to the naskh (abolishment or abrogation) of the tilawah (reading) of those parts by God. However, we cannot accept this explanation, for the conception of naskh al–
tilawah emerged in later times, in order to justify the traditions narrated by Sunni traditionalists implying the loss or the deletion of some surahs, verses or their parts. Moreover, a group of Sunni scholars also reject the notion of naskh al-tilawah. Al-Sarakhsi, discussing this issue, writes:

The Muslims do not consider this kind of abrogation in the Quran as permissible. Some heretics, who hide their unbelief under a profession of Islam and desire to corrupt it, say that it is permissible, even after the demise of the Prophet (S). They argue on the basis of some traditions, such as the narration from Abu Bakr that (is a part of the Qur'an), or the one from Anas that (used to be a Quranic verse), or the statement of 'Umar that "we used to read the Ayat al-rajm in the Book of God, and we used to act upon it," or the statement of Ubayy that "the Surat al-Ahzab was equal to or longer than the Surat al-Baqarah."

And al-Shafii, although presumably he does not agree with the statements of these, has argued on the basis of something close to it in regard to the number of radaat, for he considers as sahih the riwayah from 'Aishah that:

Al-Sarakhai rejects all such statements implying the occurrence of tahrlf in the Qur'an on the basis of verse 15:9. Then he adds:

It is obvious that the meaning of the verse is not that the Quran shall be preserved near God, for God does not forget or lose anything; what the verse means is that the Qur'an would be preserved in our midst. It is certain that there can be no abrogation in the Shari'ah through some revelation occurring after the Prophet's demise, because if such a thing be admissible in regard to a part of that which was revealed to the Prophet (S), we shall have to admit that such a thing will be allowable in regard to the whole of it. Hence, such a thing amounts to stating that none of the duties, which for the people rest upon the revelation, will remain obligatory. And what can be unseeming than such a statement?

Dr. Subbi al-Salih also writes:

Abrogation has been divided by them into three kinds:

(1) the abrogation of a command without that of the tilawah (of its related verse);

(2) the abrogation of tilawah without that of the command;

(3) the abrogation of a verse's tilawah together with its command.

They display an amazing intrepidity regarding the second and the third kinds, in which, they claim, the reading of specific verses has been abolished with or without the abrogation of the command. However,
one who notices the artificiality of these classifications will soon discover their compound error; for a classification of issues is valid when there are many, or at least sufficient, instances of each kind so as to permit the formulation of a rule.

But the lovers of naskh have no more than one or two instances of these two kinds, and all the traditions that they mention in this regard are akhbar ahad, which as such neither carry any weight nor produce any certainty regarding the Quranic revelation and its abrogation. This correct viewpoint has been espoused by Ibn zafar in his book al–Yanbue, where he has declined to admit that they are instances of the naskh al–tilawah, saying, "This is because a khabar wahid does not establish (anything regarding) the Qur'an.,

Dr. Subhi al–Sahi also mentions the instances of such naskh as (ayat al rajm, `ashr rada'at, etc. However, he makes no comment regarding the presence of such traditions in the Sihah including the works of Muslim and al–Bukhari, as well as other Sunni compilations. Although he points out that the ayat al–rajm is spurious, he does not say who is responsible for having fabricated it.

Similarly, what are we to say about the traditions narrated from Abu Musa Al Ashari, Ibn `Umar, Ubayy ibn Ka' b and others? Are they to be considered as sahih traditions or spurious fabrications? Do not those traditions of the which being khabar wahid fail to establish anything regarding the Qur'an, amount nevertheless to statements declaring the occurrence of tahrif in the Qur'an? Al–Sayyid says in this regard:

The claim of the occurrence of naskh al–tilawah amounts to claiming the occurrence of tahrif and loss. To explain, naskh al–tilawah could either be done by the Messenger of God (S) or by someone who succeeded him to the office of leadership. When what the believers in such a naskh mean is that it was done by the Prophet (S), it is something which requires conclusive proof. All the Muslim scholars agree that naskh of the Book by khabar wahid is not permissible, and a group of them have stated this expressly in their books on jurisprudence and other subjects.

Rather, al–Shi'i, and most of his followers, as well as majority of the Ahl al–Zahir (the ' Literalists), regard the abrogation of the Book even by mutawitir sunnah as impermissible. This is also the stand of Ahmed ibn Hanbal in one of the two traditions narrated from him. Moreover, a group of those who admit the permissibility of the naskh of the Quran by mutawitir sunnah, deny that such a thing has actually occurred. On the basis of this, is it correct to ascribe such a naskh to the Prophet (S) on the grounds of the reports of these narrators?

Moreover, the ascription of the naskh to the Prophet (S) contradicts a group of traditions according to which the deletion occurred after him (as mentioned in the preceding discussion).

But if what they mean is that the naskh was carried out by those who ruled after the Prophet (S), such a claim amounts to belief in tahrif. Accordingly, it may be said that belief in tahrif is the creed of the majority of the scholars of the Ahl al–Sunnah, for they believe in the permissibility of naskh al–tilawah,
with or without the abrogation of the A group of the Mulazdah, it is true, believed in the impermissibility of nashk.

Among those who have rejected naskh al-tilawah are al-Jaziri in his work al-Fiqh al-madhahib al-arba’ah, iii, 257, and al-’Ustadh Sayis in Fath al-Mannan ala husn al arid, 216–217.
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